Challenges in Capturing Long Term Follow up of **Recipients of Genetically** Modified Cells **Cell Therapy Liaison Meeting** January, 2018



A research collaboration between the National Marrow Donor Program (NMDP)/Be The Match and the Medical College of Wisconsin

Outline

- Development of the Cellular Therapy Registry
 - Standardized Data Collection Platform
 - Data Standards and Sharing
 - Considerations for capturing long term follow up.
- Recommendation vs. Interpretation for Commercial Genetic Modified Products.
- Approaches to optimize follow up.
- Overview and recommendations.



A Little History: We Have Been Around for a Very Long Time



NMDP/Be The Match

Established outcomes registry and research repository in 1986 CIBMTR® CENTER FOR INTERNATIONAL BLOOD & MARROW TRANSPLANT RESEARCH

Medical College of Wisconsin

Established outcomes registry in 1972; NIH funded since 1985

The CIBMTR is a research collaboration between NMDP/Be The Match and the Medical College of Wisconsin



Characteristics of HCT Registry Data

- Population-based
 - Population defined by receipt of a specific type of therapy
 - No other eligibility criteria (i.e. do not need to be in a specific clinical trial or in a certain kind of center)
 - Requirement for consecutive reporting
- Longitudinal
 - No specific end date for follow-up
 - Depends on ability of treating physician/center to maintain contact
- Variable dataset





Requirements of SCTOD

- Collect data
 - Outcomes of allogeneic HCTs performed in the US or performed outside the US with US grafts
 - Other therapeutic applications of blood stem cells
 - Regenerative medicine
 - Cellular therapy of malignant disease
 - Quality of life
- Disseminate data
 - Multiple Users, Formats
- Analyze data
 - Center-specific outcomes
 - Wide Range of Research
- Research Repository



CIBMTR Cellular Therapy Initiative -Objectives

- To study therapies using cellular products for indications other than hematopoietic replacement or recovery.
- To provide an infrastructure to allow longterm follow-up of patients treated with genetically manipulated cellular therapy products.



CIBMTR Cellular Therapy Initiatives -History

- 1990s-Jan 2017: Donor lymphocyte infusions to treat post-transplant relapse/infection capture on HCT forms
- 2006- June 2016: SCTOD mandate to collection data on non-HCT uses of blood stem cells
 - Outreach to disease specialist
 - Simple registry of activity
- July 2016-current: Revamp the Cellular Therapy Registry with the launch of CTED forms.
 - Expanded fields on indications, product manufacturing and complications, long term follow up mechanism
 - Converge all cellular therapies, including DCIs in one track.
 - NCI Pilot Project



CIBMTR Cellular Therapy Initiatives -History

- **1990s-Jan 2017**: Donor lymphocyte infusions to treat post-transplant relapse/infection capture on HCT forms
- 2006- June 2016: SCTOD mandate to collection data on non-HCT uses of blood stem cells
 - Outreach to disease specialist
 - Simple registry of activity
- July 2016-current: Revamp the Cellular Therapy Registry with the launch of CTED forms.
 - Expanded fields on indications, product manufacturing and complications, long term follow up mechanism
 - Converge all cellular therapies, including DCIs in one track.
 - NCI Pilot Project



CIBMTR Cellular Therapy Initiatives -History

- 2014-2017: active initiative to understand the community's needs and to develop an infrastructure to meet those needs
 - CIBMTR Advisory Committee/Advisory Council presentations
 - Forum of wide range of stakeholders in 2015, 2016 and 2017
 - Series of meetings with industry and international registries
- Summer 2016: new Cellular Therapy Registry forms launched in FormsNet



CTED Pilot

- NCI-funded 1 year project to "Beta" test the CTED forms.
- Launched in July 2016
- Direct input from centers at two in person meetings
- Data harmonization and input from international partners (EBMT and JHSCT)
- Input resulted in the release of revised forms July 2017.
- Time studies are ongoing to determine reporting burden and appropriate reimbursement rates



Data Flow – Transplant



*Donor outcomes routinely collected **Donor outcomes collected on subset



Data Flow – Cellular Therapy







Cellular Therapy Registry Data Flow







Cellular Therapy Specific Data

- Treatment description
 - Lymphodepleting chemotherapy and adjuvant treatment (e.g. immune checkpoint inhibitor)
- Cytokine Release Syndrome:
 - Capture all signs and symptoms to adapt to any grading system.
 - Treatment and resolution

Other important outcomes

- Neurotoxicities, other toxicities, infections, hypogammaglobulinemia, cytopenias, GVHD, subsequent neoplasms, death.
- Persistence of cell product.



Indications for Cellular Therapy, 2016-2017 – CTED Pilot (N=475)



Cellular Therapies for Treatment of Malignancies – Excluding DLI – July 16' to November 17'

Characteristics	N=149	
Centers	50	
Indication		
Acute Lymphocytic Leukemia	62	
Acute Myeloid Leukemia	2	
Hodgkin Disease	6	
Multiple Myeloma	10	
Non Hodgkin Lymphoma	61	
Other Hematologic Malignancy	2	
Solid Tumors ¹	6	
Genetically Modified Cells ²	114	
CIBMTR ^{•1} GBM, Neuroblastoma and Sarcoma ² CAR: B	CMA, CD19, C	D

Data Processing, Quality and Sharing







eDBtC Patient Level Data





23

Follow up Structure

Type of cells	Time points	Follow up
НСТ	3m, 6m, 1 year, yearly up to 5 years then every 2 years	Until death
Genetically Modified Cells	3m, 6m, 1 year, yearly	15y
Third Party CTLs	3m, 6m, 1 year, yearly	2y then HCT
Unmanipulated donor lymphocyte infusions	3 m	HCT
Mesenchymal stem cells	3 m	HCT 24

Issues Pertinent to Cellular Therapy Followup – Multiple treatments and centers

- Consider Jane Doe:
 - 12 year with early relapse of ALL
 - CAR-T-cell therapy #1 no response
 - CAR-T-cell therapy #2 different construct, different institution - response
 - HCT third institution
 - Viral-specific T-cell therapy post-transplant
- Who reports what to where? How does the data *flow?*



CT Model for Long Term Follow up



Issues Pertinent to Cellular Therapy Follow-up – 15 years

- Optimize follow up and patient tracking through ePRO.
- Patients consent to allow the CIBMTR to directly contact them.
- Dedicated coordinator group responsible to communicate with patients.
- Data from this mechanism would be available to centers through eDBtC similar to clinical data.



Issues Pertinent to Cellular Therapy Follow-up – Pregnancy

- Outcome forms capture pregnancies after CT and outcomes.
- Capture information of health of the baby is challenging.
 - Rely on center to report
 - Direct patient contact
 - Request for pediatricians' notes and growth charts through the ePRO system.



Commercial CAR-T cell: Current Status

CENTER FOR INTERNATIONAL BLOOD

& MARROW TRANSPLANT RESEAR



Conclusions and Recommendations

- Standardized database will be important to the field.
 - Minimal set of data elements required;
 - Avoid having multiple databases for each company and for similar cellular products.
- Recommendation of minimal follow up schedule to avoid increasing the burden of data collection and maximizing efficiency.
- Promote innovative approaches for patient tracking and follow up.

